Hilarion's Letter to his Wife Alis (P Oxy 744)

A New Suggestion to Solve Its Problem

by

Chrys C. Caragounis

Hilarion, probably a laborer from Oxyrhynchos, had moved to Alexandria in search of work. At home he had left a wife, a child, and probably a mother in law. He was apparently living with some other friends from his home town, who were returning to Oxyrhynchos. He takes the opportunity of sending with them a letter to his wife (dated 17 June 1 B.C.). The letter was in part an answer to his wife's message to him conveyed by a common friend of theirs, Aphrodisias, who was visiting Alexandria.

In his letter Hilarion promises to send his wife money as soon as he has received his pay (ὀψώνιον, on this word, see Chrys C. Caragounis, "ΟΨΩΝΙΟΝ: A Reconsideration of Its Meaning", *NovT* 16 (1974) p. 35-57).

Thereafter he goes on to say something about the expected family situation that has baffled scholars. The text runs as follows:

ἐὰν πολλὰ πολλῶν τέκης, ἐὰν ἦν ἄρσενον ἄφες, ἐὰν ἦν θήλεα ἔκβαλε. What Hilarion wrote, of course, looked more like this:

EAN ΠΟΛΛΑΠΟΛΛΩΝ ΤΕΚΗΣ EAN HN APΣENON AΦΕΣ EAN HN ΘΗΛΕΑ EKBAΛΕ (I have separated the words (except for the second and third words) to make the reading easier).

The expression $\pi o \lambda \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ has proved an insoluble problem. My suggestion is that the phrase is a natural mistake to make for a barbarous speaker of Greek. What he probably meant was $\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$, plural genitive of $\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ ($\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$) = "multiple" [children]. But this word, being a more literary term, was misunderstood by our uneducated friend. For an insensitive speaker of Greek it would have been quite easy to add the letter o after the second π , and since the word $\pi o \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ (or $\pi o \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$) is spelt with a double λ to write that letter twice (i.e. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \tilde{\omega} v > \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi o \lambda \tilde{\omega} v > \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi o \lambda \tilde{\omega} v >$

2

πολλαπολλῶν). There is some evidence in the papyrus that πολλὰ πολλῶν was intended as one word in the fact that while there is some space between most words, there is none between $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha}$ and $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$. Further, its position between the conditional particle and its verb indicates that $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} \pi \circ \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ (or πολλαπλῶν) is a modifier of the verb τέκης. Naturally, this verb takes an accusative object, but in inscriptions and papyri there occur also instances with the genitive and dative owing to attraction (e.g. SEG 35.213, 13 (250 B.C.): Εὐτυχιανοῦ οὖ ἔτεκεν Εὐτυχία, cf. four lines further down (line 18): Εὐτυχιανός, ὃν [ἔ]τεκεν Εὐτυχία; similarly SEG 38:1837, A 27 (III-IV A.D.) Θεοδώρου, ὃν ἔτεκε Τεχῶσις (accusative) but further down in line B 55 and 56 Θεοδώρου, οδ ἔτεκ[εν] Τεχ[ῶσις] (genitive); Hesperia Suppl. 6:383, 2, I, 27 (I A.D.): Φιλοστρά[τ]ας ής ἔτεκε Γοργιππία (genitive) but seven lines further down at II, 34): Φιλοστράταν ην έτεκε Γοργιππία (accusative); SB 1:4947, 7 (III A.D.): ἐμοὶ Πτολεμαίω ὧ ἔτεκεν Θασεῖς (dative), but four lines further down (line 11): ἐμὲ τὸν Πτολεμαῖον, ὃν ἔτεκεν Θασεῖς (accusative); see also LXX Isa 51:8): ἀπὸ πάντων τῶν τέκνων σου, ὧν ἔτεκες). These cases, though no exact parallels, illustrate how an uncouth person might be capable of the present construction. In any case, strict syntax would be too much to ask of this author. (Though not very likely, πολλά πολλών might also have been intended as a reference to more than one child $(\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \acute{a})$ of more than one kind $(\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \widetilde{o} \vee)$, i.e. male and female children).² In other words, Hilarion is concerned about his wife giving birth to multiple children, i.e. twins, triplets, etc.

This interpretation finds support in the following considerations:

The phrase ἐὰν ἦν might be, as Deissmann thought, "a popular anticipation of the fact" (A. Deissmann, *Light from the Ancient East*, p. 169, n.6.), but the ἦν

That such fluctuations of adding or subtracting a vowel or a consonant took place is shown by e.g. Col. Zenon 39.6 (III B.C.): $\pi\alpha\lambda\iota\acute{o}\nu$ instead of $\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\iota\acute{o}\nu$, and $Agyptische Urkunden aus den königlichen Museen zu Berlin, 68: Τραγειανοῦ instead of Τραειανοῦ. This is the phenomenon of synizesis, whereby, for example, a syllable which has <math>\iota$ or ϵ or $\epsilon\iota$ or $\alpha\iota$ is pronounced together with the following vowel, e.g. o: thus from $\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\iota\acute{o}\varsigma$ we get $\pi\alpha\lambda jo\varsigma > \pi\alpha\lambda\iota\acute{o}\varsigma$. The same phenomenon occurs when the first syllable has a palatal while the second a guttural. This is the reason why the i-sound (= $\epsilon\iota$) turns to a guttural γ : thus Τραειανός > Τραγιανός, ὑάλινον > γ υάλινον. The phenomenon is witnessed already in early Hellenistic times and occurs frequently in Neohellenic (See Jannaris, Historical Grammar, §155 and for Neohellenic, Tsopanakis, $N\epsilon o\epsilon\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\iota\kappa\dot{\eta}$ Γραμματικ $\dot{\eta}$, 3rd ed. 1998, § 128-37, 184, 225).

² Tabachovitz's solution (*Eranos* 59 (1961), 45-48) by means of Platon, *Timaios* 29 c: ἐὰν οὖν, ὧ Σώκρατες, πολλὰ πολλῶν πέρι θεῶν κτλ., which he renders "Wenn ich *vielleicht* nicht imstande sein sollte, hinsichtlich der Gotter ..." would give to our passage the translation: "If you perhaps give birth, if it is a male let it [live]" etc. Our text, however, does not seem to imply any doubt about the giving of birth, but as to whether the child[ren] will be male or female.

might also have been intended as a subjunctive $(\tilde{\eta})$ after έάν. In that case, the v was a v έφελκυστικόν, written to avoid a hiatus with the following word which begins with a vowel. Strictly speaking the v έφελκυστικόν should not appear in the second έὰν $\tilde{\eta}$ v, where $\tilde{\eta}$ v precedes a word beginning with θ , but this may have been influenced by the previous $\tilde{\eta}$ v: $\tilde{\eta}$ v $\tilde{\alpha}$ ρσενον ... $\tilde{\eta}$ v θ ήλεα.

The form ἄρσενον is a neuter singular nominative of the later ἄρσενος, -ον (for an example, see CIJ 802), while the form θήλεα is a neuter plural nominative (of τὸ θήλεον) (see Platon, Kritias 110 c: ζῷα θήλεα; SEG 34.750 11.2 (III B.C.): τὰ ἄρσενα καὶ τὰ θήλεα [σώματα]). The construction ἦν θήλεα (verb in the singular with plural subject) is, of course, Attic, but such relics of classical usage occur in later, popular Greek up to the present day as revered fossils of the past.

What Hilarion then would be saying to his wife is: "If you give birth to multiples, if there is a boy let it [live], but if they are girls, expose [them]".